Type 3 intestinal failure after bypass grafting for isolated acute superior mesenteric artery dissection: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Isolated superior mesenteric artery (SMA) dissection is a very rare cause of mesenteric ischemia. A 66-year-old male patient was admitted to our emergency department with severe epigastric pain. He was diagnosed with spontaneous SMA dissection leading to intestinal ischemia. A bypass graft was used to relieve acute ischemia with an initial success. However, this success was not sustained, as the graft failed slowly despite a satisfactory technical appearance, leading to type 3 intestinal failure which could be explained by the competitive flow. In conclusion, bypass grafting may yield short-term bowel viability in the treatment of isolated SMA dissection and acute ischemia; however, grafts may fail without radiologically apparent technical complications possibly due to the competitive flow from the collateralizing circuits.
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The etiology of spontaneous SMA dissection still remains unclear and associated risk factors have not been well identified. Although hypertension was previously put forward as a risk factor, it was only described in 31% of the reported cases without any pathology other than dissection based on histological examination results. Mechanical stress has been also suggested to be involved in the etiology through examining simulated hemodynamic flow on computer simulation models of CT scans of the diseased arteries.

Superior mesenteric artery dissection can occur with aortic dissection (AD). It has been reported that 5% of AD cases are associated with intestinal ischemia with an overall higher mortality rate ranging from 36 to 90%.

According to the literature data, SMA dissection can be classified into three types: the first having a patent true lumen alongside a patent false lumen with re-entry; the second having only a patent true lumen with either a thrombosed or patent false lumen without re-entry; and a third with both lumens thrombosed.

Herein, we report a rare case of type 3 intestinal failure after bypass grafting for isolated acute SMA dissection.

CASE REPORT

A 66-year-old male patient was admitted to our emergency department complaining of severe epigastric pain. His past medical history revealed hypertension controlled by medications. He smoked 10 to 15 cigarettes a day for the last 40 years. He was cold and clammy on examination with signs of peripheral shutdown. Elevated lactate levels (7.3 mmol/L) and white blood count (14.6×10⁹/L) with abnormal
C-reactive protein values were found in his laboratory studies.

Computed tomography scan showed thrombosis of the proximal portion of the SMA secondary to an extensive dissection flap just after the vessel origin (Figures 1 and 2). The origin of the right colic and ileocolic arteries though patent were shown in the CT scan to arise from the false lumen. The true lumen was thrombosed distal to these origins involving the proximal to mid-small bowel branches.

The significance of this appearance was not initially appreciated, and midline laparotomy was performed by the general surgeons for possible bowel infarction. This revealed generalized dusky-looking small bowel with no evidence of gangrene or perforation. Based on these findings, a vascular surgical opinion was sought. On attendance, review of the scans revealed the above and a more detailed intraoperative inspection showed that the stomach, duodenum, and colon appeared pink and healthy. The SMA at the root of the small bowel mesentery was found to be non-pulsating. The SMA was, then, identified using Doppler ultrasound which demonstrated an occluded flow distal to the origin. Therefore, SMA arteriotomy was performed and cleared proximally with a Size 2 Fogarty catheter. The detached intima was retrieved, but without restoration of the inflow. However, there was good back-bleeding.

The intimal tear was tacked distally within the SMA vessel wall, and a reversed long saphenous vein graft was harvested from the leg and anastomosed from the ipsilateral common iliac artery (CIA) to the SMA bypassing the occluded proximal section. Doppler ultrasound was used to confirm the blood flow which was found to be satisfactory with improved small bowel appearance after the application of warm packs as evidenced by the color and peristalsis.

On postoperative Day 3, the patient suffered from the right iliac fossa tenderness with a sustained elevation of his lactate levels (2.5 to 3 mmol/L) and, therefore, another CT scan was performed which showed low flow within a patent vein graft. A better flow to the SMA territories from the collateral routes was demonstrated together with some small patent outflow vessels from the graft. The dissected SMA remained occluded proximally with poor bowel wall enhancement, consistent with ischemia, particularly of small bowel loops in the right side of the abdomen.

Following the CT scan, the Vascular & Endovascular Surgery Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) discussed the case and advised that, although a short section of the SMA was poorly perfused, the SMA proximally and distally to this was well perfused through collateralization from a now widely patent coeliac axis and, thus, the bowel perfusion was no longer dependent on the bypass graft. Therefore, no further endovascular or open vascular surgical interventions were advised, as they would unlikely to improve bowel perfusion. The MDT recommended referral to a specialist gastrointestinal surgical and nutrition team for further management and follow-up.

The patient continued to have significant pain on eating, significant hypoalbuminemia, and temperature spikes, despite antibiotic treatment. Edematous viable
bowel and multiple adhesions were found, when a second laparotomy was performed for these symptoms.

Postoperatively, the patient could not tolerate food due to pain, nausea, and loss of appetite and, therefore, colonoscopy was performed which showed no abnormalities apart from slightly granular appearance of the mucosa in the transverse and left colon. The patient was managed conservatively and started nutritional support with total parenteral nutrition (TPN) through a peripherally inserted central line with which he was discharged home.

However, he was readmitted with progressive abdominal pain associated with intermittent vomiting and fever and an episode of postural collapse. He was diagnosed with type 3 intestinal failure and repeated CT scan showed diffuse thickening and hyperenhancement of the distal small bowel up to the ileocecal junction associated with stranding and small amount of free mesenteric fluid. The ileocolic arteries did not enhance and the bypass graft failed.

Exploratory laparotomy was performed. Most of the ileum was well perfused and had a normal appearance with good peristalsis. However, there was a segment of approximately 50-cm of the distal ileum which was thickened, stiff, and pale with dense adhesions. A bounding pulse was felt in the small bowel mesentery root. This segment was resected and a double-barrelled ileostomy was exteriorized.

The patient recovered well from the operation with no further pain and his appetite improved. At one-year follow-up, full recovery with return to normal body weight preoperatively was achieved. A written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

**DISCUSSION**

Isolated SMA dissection is a very rare condition. Several treatment options are available including conservative medical treatment, stenting, bypass, endarterectomy, or coil embolization. Due to its rarity, there is a very limited number of high-quality data in the literature regarding the most optimal treatment.

The main presenting symptom is usually abdominal pain in about 86% of patients and some studies have shown a direct relationship between the severity of dissection and degree of pain. An algorithm for management has been suggested by Cho et al. and Casella et al. who mainly classified patients as symptomatic and asymptomatic. Conservative management is recommended for asymptomatic patients, while intervention either in the form of surgery or stenting is advised for symptomatic patients or asymptomatic patients unresponsive to conservative treatment. Our case was symptomatic with a poor bowel condition and, thus, we opted for intervention.

In the presented case, bypass grafting relieved acute ischemia, but failed gradually after without apparent technical reasons, as collaterals developed. Computed tomography which was performed in the postoperative period showed more flow from the collateral routes than from the vein graft, probably due to the competitive flow. Similar cases have been also described in the literature using crossover grafts for leg ischemia in aortic dissection.

The concept of competitive flow can be explained by the fact that the distal segment of the initially diseased vessel now receives blood from two sources: the collateralized blood supply from the coeliac axis and the bypass graft. This was also described before in cardiac surgery. This may be due to the unbeneficial shear stress distribution, leading to endothelial dysfunction due to the high competitive flow.

With graft occlusion, the flow could be enough to keep the intestine viable, but not to function properly, resulting in multiple nutritional deficiencies. This could be the reason the patient did not tolerate re-feeding, thereby, needing nutritional support in the form of TPN.

In conclusion, bypass grafting can ensure short-term bowel viability in the treatment of isolated SMA dissection and acute ischemia. However, grafts may fail without radiologically apparent technical complications possibly due to the competitive flow from collateralizing circuits. This results in a dynamically changing perfusion picture which may initially appear adequate, but eventually result in focal chronic bowel ischemia in watershed areas.
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